Challenges in remediation of contaminated sediments in Norway

Gijs D. Breedveld, Gerard Cornelissen, Espen Eek, Amy M.P. Oen, Arne Pettersen, Anne Kibsgaard and Audun Hauge Norwegian Geotechnical Institute

Norway

- Area: 324000 km²
- Population: 4.6 mill.
- Coastline:
 - 2650 km netto,
 - with fjords 25000 km,
 - with islands 57000 km





Sognefjord





Sognefjord - bathymetry



Sognefjord - length profile

Contamination History

- Industrial sources
- Urbanisation
- Wastewater treatment
- Traffic

Contamination History

- Industrial sources
- Urbanisation
- Wastewater treatment
- Traffic

Oen et al. , Env. Poll., 2006

Contamination History

- Sequestration
- Activity ratios
- Fluxes and direction

Breedveld et al. ES&T, 2007

- Sequestration
- Activity ratios
- Fluxes and direction

Cornelissen et al, ET&C, 2006; ES&T, 2006

- Sequestration
- Activity ratios
- Fluxes and directio

- Sequestration
- Activity ratios
- Fluxes and direction

Schaanning et al., NIVA, 2005

- Sequestration
- Activity ratios
- Fluxes and direction

Eek, PhD thesis UiO, 2008

Finding solutions for contaminated sediments Separation Chemical Natural Recovery Thermal Biological Dredging In situ treatment Disposal On land Near shore Capping Shallow water Deep water

Natural Recovery

- Remove sources
- Resedimentation
- Bioturbation

Eek, PhD thesis UiO, 2008

Removal

- Dredging
- Remove the complete layer of contamination
- Prevent resuspension

New Opera house and F 18 road hundel

18 000 tons of sediments

Near shore disposal

- Limited transport
- Land reclamation
- Capping effect
- Consolidation time
- Monitoring of runoff

Solidification/Stabilisation

- Ideal binder:
- improves strength
- reduces permeability
- reduces leaching

Trondheim harbour - stabilisation of CDF

Confined aqueous disposal

- Storage below water surface
- Reduced area of exposure
- Capping after deposition
- Reduced contact with benthic organisms

Monitoring using passive samplers

Passive sampling

- 3-6 weeks time integrated sampling
- dissolved contaminants
- at low levels < 0,1 pg/L

Cornelissen et al, ET&C, 2008

In-situ remediation

Chemical stabilisation

- Amendment with strongly sorbing material
- Reduced aqueous concentration
- Reduced uptake in benthic organisms

Cornelissen et al, ES&T, 2006

Capping

- Covering with clean sediment
- Prevent contact with benthic organisms
- Prevent remobilisation

Pilot capping Malmøykalven, bearing capacity

Capping efficiency

Effectiveness of solutions

Site-specific solutions

- Based on local conditions
- Support nature's recovery potential
- Understand the fate of the contaminants
- Optimal environmental effect
- "Toolbox" of methods

