The impact of microscale changes on fate and behaviour of particle--bound contaminants **Observations and speculation Sediments and Biodiversity:** bridging the gap between science and policy **7th international SedNet event** 6-9 April 2011, Venice, Italy



Sabine E. Apitz SEA Environmental Decisions, Ltd. *1 South Cottages, The Ford; Little Hadham, Herts, SG11 2AT, UK; +44 (0)1279771890; drsea@cvrl.org

A range of mechanisms affect biophysical status and thus contaminant fate and behavior





Sediments can bind contaminants in different ways, depending upon sediment characteristics, geochemical conditions and even degree of aging. This can affect contaminant mobility, bioavailability, degradability, fate and risk



Sediments can bind contaminants in different ways, depending upon sediment characteristics, geochemical conditions and even degree of aging. This can affect contaminant mobility, bioavailability, degradability, fate and risk



Cu (%) Zn (%) Pb (%) TPAH

Chemo- and bioavailability

Other organisms

However, these interactions are affected by biogenic and anthropogenic physical, biological and chemical changes at every scale



Micropor



Sediment Particle

Micro-scale biogenic processes control the biophysical conditions that affect ecosystem function and contaminant fate and



<u>Time-lapse 2-D images of O₂ distribution from planar Optodes –</u> over a 24 hour period, much of this "reduced" sediment is oxic



How does this affect chemical fluxes? Should we treat sediments as reduced or oxic?

<u>Time-lapse 2-D images of O₂ distribution from planar Optodes –</u> <u>over a 24 hour period, much of this "reduced" sediment is oxic</u>

These processes drive redox oscillation, which affects degradation, metal speciation and transport, possibly resulting in contaminant processes not predicted by bulk or profile measurements



17:15





0.000

How does this affect chemical fluxes? Should we treat sediments as reduced or oxic?





Sediment Profile Imaging (SPI) + fluorescent imaging: Crab bioturbation relocates fluorescently labelled sediment particles Sometimes it is not the benthic infauna that drive transport



Bioirrigation v Diffusion

- Bioirrigation can be a major component of fluxes (60-90% of overall diffusive flux)
- This can drive contaminant fluxes much higher than are predicted by chemical gradients
- This process rarely measured or modeled

Si fluxes in benthic Chambers; chambers allowed to go anoxic





Increasing organic enrichment

Schematic diagram showing two types of sediment reworking.



Type A enhances beneficial microbial activity



Organic enrichment can favour B-type, and can result in anoxia and sulphidic fish kills



Degradation Rates (Naphthalene, Phenanthrene, Fluoranthene)

- Mineralisation rates generally stronger and extend deeper in more bioturbated sediment
- This is also observed when disturbance is anthropogenic or storminduced



Advective Fluxes – mid-scale

- Direct measurement of seepage rates using ultrasonic seepage meters
- Advective flow can have tidal component
- Oxygen and nutrients are affected as well
- Can drive oxygen inputs, redox oscillation, nutrient balance, contaminant fluxes



Organisms alter the sediment structure, and thus the fluid and chemical fluxes



Flow-induced Advection: biogenically induced topography induces fluid flow, and thus localized redox states and chemical fluxes

In situ microelectrodes can map small-scale chemical gradients, elucidating these processes

Organisms alter the sediment structure, and thus the fluid and chemical fluxes



be Germano

Erosive Fluxes

Bed erosion properties (critical shear stress, erosion rates, currents, event frequencies) affect these processes

Erosive potential during short periods (<1 h) associated with ship movements, storms, etc

Erosion and settling can increase and/or decrease contaminant availability

Affects stability, but also biophysical conditions





Resuspension may enhance degradation but also increase risk of desorption, transport and uptake – these events may overwhelm diffusive or other fluxes







Biophysical changes can have positive and negative impacts

Dominant Process	Exposure	Attenuation	Example Control Strategies
Diffusion	Contaminant flux to biota	Contaminant attenuation	Reactive/sorptive cap Thicker cap Predict recovery
Bioirrigation	Contaminant flux to biota	Contaminant attenuation	Barrier
Advection	Contaminant flux to biota Contaminant flux to sediments from offshore	Contaminant attenuation O ₂ , nutrient delivery	Reactive/sorptive/impermeable caps Groundwater interdiction Predict recovery, Permeable cap
Erosion/Resuspension	Contaminated particle transport – site spreading Exposure to biota Desorption; redox osc.	Redox oscillation Mixing/dilution of contaminants Enhanced degradation (aerobic)	Removal, containment Predict bioremediation
Sedimentation	Continued input (if contaminated)	Burial (if clean)	Control source Predict recovery
Bioturbation	Exposure to biota Upward mixing Redox oscillation	Dilution O ₂ , nutrient delivery Redox oscillation	Barrier Predict Recovery
Biodegradation	Toxic metabolites	Loss of contaminants	Enhance biodegradation Avoid blocking O ₂

An understanding of the relative importance of contaminant transport processes at sites will focus site conceptual models and help risk managers balance these processes

Some points

Contaminant fate and behaviour at the microscale may be very different than that expected at the bulk scale These processes are affected by direct anthropogenic pressures, or by changes in community str Hydromorphological changes, contaminan organic enrichment, resuspension, habitat loss, and many other pressures affect biophysical status and/or structure Such structural changes may increase with increased pressures and climate change

Pathways of exposure *can* affect availability and mode of impact



ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS IN THE WILD WEST

copyright Nick Kim http://strangematter.sci.waikato.ac.nz/