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Dredged Sediment Management and Resilience

- USACE dredges over 200MCY/yr
- ~ 30% used beneficially
- USACE has multiple missions
- BU support FRM and ecosystem missions while simultaneously supporting navigation
- Challenges to BU projects in US
  - Increased costs
  - Planning/coordination between navigation and restoration projects
  - Regulatory frameworks
  - Perception of DM – especially muddy sediment
  - Cost-share partners

Cross-mission = Cost Savings
Innovative solutions for a safer, better world
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Innovative solutions for a safer, better world
Dredged Sediment Strategic Placement

Definition

The process of placing dredged sediment in open water at one location with the expectation that hydrodynamic forces will transport sediment to targeted receptor sites.

- Compliments construction and TLP – does not replace it
- Target resources most in need of sediment
- Cost-effective compared to direct placement/construction
- Permit nature to do the “heave lifting”, sorting, etc
- Less intrusive at the receptor site
- Ongoing sediment source to receptor site – mimic natural processes
- Renewable placement site capacity
- Increase volume of dredged sediment used beneficially
Strategic Placement
Supporting resilient mudflat/marsh systems

Strategic placement features are dynamic – can attenuate waves, provide sediment source, and create diverse habitat (quiescent areas)
Strategic Placement and Federal Standard

Dredged material management in the US is required to meet the “Federal Standard”

- This law requires that dredged material be managed in the ‘least cost, sound engineering, environmentally acceptable’ manner
- “environmentally acceptable” provides some flexibility, but was generally not used to address coastal resilience or ecosystem restoration
- Strategic placement cost can be similar to non-beneficial disposal
  - Reduced hauling distance/time vs. offshore placement
  - Lower carbon footprint
- Placement sites highly dispersive – renewed capacity each dredging cycle
- Laws related to cross-mission benefits need to be refined
Strategic Placement – Stakeholder Engagement

When discussing with stakeholders:

• Build the case
  • Present practice: system will continue to degrade
  • Decades of DMM experience permit us to manage risk
  • Compliments other strategies – does not replace construction

• Manage expectations
  • Unlike construction, benefits may be harder to quantify
  • Practices that mimic natural processes difficult to measure
  • One component of identified solution

• Monitor for:
  • Ecosystem response and diversity
  • Suspended solids and accretion
  • Morphologic evolution

• Better way of doing business  keep sediments in the regional system, mimics “natural” sediment distribution
Developing a Site Plan

- Engage stakeholders early – involvement in decision making process
- Understand the system
  - Evolution since anthropogenic interference
  - Identify where sediment is going
  - Circulation – capacity and directions of transport
  - Evolution of ecosystem – past/present/future
  - Identify where sediment is needed
- Predictive tools to evaluate strategic placement alternatives
  - Risk characterization (include “no-action” scenario)
  - Long-term benefits
  - Cost/sustainability
- Identify most promising alternatives
- Living Laboratory – follow the Dutch example!
  - Location(s) to evaluate strategic placement options
  - Obtain stakeholder/regulator concensus for demonstrations
- Being adopted at Avalon, NJ and Galveston, TX
Example: West Bay Diversion

- Louisiana wetlands isolated from wetland sediment source
  - Significant wetland loss
  - Multiple diversions are designed for flood control
  - Navigation channel withholds sediment from diversions
- Place dredged sediment into diversion channels
- Monitor benefits
Example: West Bay Diversion

- Dredged sediment placed in diversion to increase sediment load to targeted wetlands
- High velocity moved sediment past targeted resources
- Solution: Use dredged sediment to create features which slow current
- Monitor effectiveness
Example: West Bay Diversion

- Constructed Berms
- Sediment Trapping
- Strategic Placement
Mobile Bay, Alabama

- Mobile-Tensaw system is 6th largest river system in US
- Majority of dredged sediment placed in Bay until WRDA 1986
- Post-WRDA, all sediment placed in Gulf to improve Bay “environmental quality”
- ~ 4 Mcy annually transported up to 40 miles to ODMDS
- Sediment Budget: Bay is losing sediment ~ 1.6 Mcy/year (Byrnes et al, 2013)
- 2012 permission for emergency in-bay thin-layer placement → monitor ecosystem response
- 2014 permission for long-term in-bay placement approved
Mobile Bay, Alabama

- Channel-Adjacent thin layer placement in 2012
- <30 cm placement to permit benthic organism migration
- Sediment placement, process and transport studies applied to evaluate placement options
PLACEMENT TIMELINE

- 200 SPI stations
- 185 push core stations
- Monitoring started at 24-hours post-placement and continued for six months
- Cross-referencing SPI, core, and pipeline station coordinates to improve placement practice
- Normalize practice 2012-present
Mobile Bay, Alabama
Next Steps

- Use circulation/sediment transport model to identify placement sites that will increase dredged sediment fraction supporting wetland resources
- Identify partners to address increased costs
- Monitor evolution/fate of placed sediment
- Develop sustainable practice
SF Bay - Background

• History
  • Significant wetland complex
  • Much of this was diked in the late 19th and early 20th centuries
  • Hydraulic mining in 19th century increased sediment load into Bay – resulting in thick, contaminated deposits in some areas
  • Increased TSS also sustained remaining wetlands

• Present/Future
  • ~ 50% reduction in TSS since 1980s (Schoelhamer 2011)
  • Wetlands are threatened by combined SLR and reduced TSS
  • Diked lands being restored to wetland
  • Beneficial use proposed to support both existing and restored wetlands
Wetland Construction – SF Bay

- 2600 acre wetland restoration on former wetland diked in late 19th Century
- Farmland converted to AAFS in early 20th Century
- Subsidence resulted in land below MLLW
- 6MCY of navigation dredged sediment placed to increase elevation
  - Promote sediment recruitment to obtain required elevation for wetland plants
  - Berms constructed to reduce fetch and encourage accretion
  - Monitor and model
Wetland Construction – SF Bay

- Entrance channel to major East Coast Port
- Jetties protect inlet from infilling
- Littoral transport disrupted
- River sediment load moves further offshore
- Dredging: offshore disposal
- Response of ebb shoal attachment bar
  - Migrating south
  - Accretion on south end of Tybee
  - Recession due to sediment deficit of north end of Tybee

Breach
Horseshoe Bend, Louisiana

- 1990s: Placement at wetland development sites
- Site capacity filled by 1999
- Alternative placements
  - Convert wetland to upland
  - Long-distance pipeline to Atchafalaya Bay
  - Mounding of material mid-river
- Mid-river placement selected to investigate downriver shoaling/island creation
- Began mid-river placement in 2002
- Monitor island development (acreage, habitat, soils, etc…)
- USACE EWN Project, certified by PIANC as a WwN project
Horseshoe Bend, Louisiana

- 1990s: Placement at wetland development sites
- Site capacity filled by 1999
- Alternative placements
  - Convert wetland to upland
  - Long-distance pipeline to Atchafalaya Bay
  - Mounding of material mid-river
- Mid-river placement selected to investigate downriver shoaling
- Began mid-river placement in 2002
- Monitor island development (acreage, habitat, soils, etc…)
- USACE EWN Project, certified by PIANC as a WwN project

From Berkowitz et al, 2015
Horseshoe Bend, Louisiana

Evolution of Horseshoe Bend Island

From Suedel et al, 2015
Avalon, New Jersey

- Reduced mineral sediment input
- Subsidence and marsh fracturing
- Loss of FRM and ecosystem benefits
- Apply navigation dredged sediment to fill pools/pannes forming in marsh interior
- Monitor over multiple years
- Two phases
- Pipeline placement – block flow into vegetated areas
Avalon, New Jersey
Avalon, New Jersey

- Some plant die-off near fill site
- Recovery after ~ 2 years
- Temporary change in pH
- Confinement structure inhibited creation of elevations that represent natural marsh
- Positive feedback resulted in stakeholder support for “living laboratory” at Avalon
Summary

• Combine strategic and direct placement to increase BU
• Do not over-engineer strategic placements
• Design to support multiple missions simultaneously
• Communicate and manage expectations
• Placement design is critical to maximizing benefits while minimizing costs and risk
• Monitoring and modeling can support design and develop guidance (physical and ecology)
Dredged Sediment Management for Resilience

Increased BU can support USACE FRM and ecosystem missions while simultaneously supporting navigation.

- Most dredged sediment is acceptable for BU
- Beneficial use of dredged sediment
  - Construction
  - Direct Thin-Layer Placement (TLP)
  - Strategic Placement
  - Other methods