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Remediation is not a sustainable practice

- We remediate sediment, soil, groundwater to address past, unsustainable practices
- *All* active remediation results in (desirable and undesirable) environmental, economic & social impacts on the environment and community
- Given the uncertainty inherent in remedial activities, sometimes we are addressing how to balance certain harm against uncertain benefit
- The challenge is optimization – how does one achieve the maximum environmental benefit with the minimum undesirable impact?

Engaging stakeholders to solve their shared problems

- It's all social - society (stakeholders) must decide on the values they wish to sustain
- Those who bear the costs and those who reap the benefits are not always the same
  - in space, time, or demographically
- Risks and benefits should be evaluated and communicated in terms of community values and priorities
  - To identify trade-offs and points of contention
- Data-driven decision making
  - To sustain societal values

The poster explores some tools, issues and challenges one must consider when evaluating, communicating and negotiating the trade-offs inherent in sediment management decision making.