

12th International SedNet Conference (online) - from 28 June to 2 July 2021

"Sediment Challenges and Opportunities due to Climate Change and Sustainable Development"



# **Changes of sediment flux of secondary branches at pan-Arctic deltas**

E.N. Dolgopolova, M.V. Isupova Water Problems Institute of RAS, Moscow, Russia dolgopolova @gmail.com

## This work is supported by state program № 0147-2019-0001, AAAA-A18-118022090056-0.

In this report we investigate possible influence of climate warming on the delta morphology of the rivers flowing in permafrost and emptying into the Arctic Ocean. (1) All rivers under consideration (Table) show increase trends of annual water discharge  $\langle Q \rangle$ . Even at the delta head of Kolyma River  $\langle Q \rangle$  increases despite of two dams regulating water flow W at the upper stream of the river. Modern data were obtained from [Shiklomanov et al., 2020]. (2) Our recent investigations of water flow W distribution among delta branches of the rivers Lena and Mackenzie show redistribution of the flow into the secondary channels as water flow at the delta head increases [Dolgopolova, Isupova, 2020]. The behaviour of other deltas displays the same pattern. The estimate of long-term change of flow distribution at the deltas is presented in the Table and in Figures (???). (3) Assuming distribution of sediment flow  $W_{s}$  redistributes accordingly to the W we research the effect of  $W_{s}$  increase at the secondary branches on the sediment deposition located at the deltas sea edges by cosmic images.

#### River deltas at the circum-Arctic map of permafrost and ground ice conditions from National Snow and Ice Data (Last modified May 12, 2011) https://databasin.org/datasets/



Table notations:  $\langle Q \rangle$ ,  $W_s$  average annual discharge and sediment flow at delta head,  $Q = Q/\langle Q \rangle$ ,  $Q_{\text{br modern}}$ ,  $Q_{\text{brmax}}$  modern flow distribution due to climate warming and to spring maximal Q at delta head

| River, branches   | < <b>Q</b> >, m³/s | <i>Q',</i> %/yr | <b>Q</b> br modern <b>,</b> % | <b>Q</b> <sub>br max</sub> , % | $W_{\rm s}$ , $W_{\rm sbr}$ ·10 <sup>6</sup> t/yr |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| <b>Lena (2):</b><br>Olenekskaya<br>Tumatskaya<br>Bykovskaya | 17178              | 0.16            | 5.49<br>4.19<br>27.38         | 9.58<br>11.90<br>28.65         | 22.7<br>1.25<br>0.95<br>6.22                      |
| Mackenzie (6):  | 9261               | 0.21            |                               |                                | 128   |



3. Examples of images of delta sea edges and mouth bars



2







## **CONCLUDING REMARKS**

- ✓ Increasing long-term Q trends were obtained for all pan-Arctic rivers, including strongly regulated Kolyma R.
- ✓ Investigation of river flow distribution between delta branches shows its dependence on the magnitude of Q at the delta head: the larger Q, the higher the shear of the secondary branches flow.
- This pattern enables one to predict the increase of sediment flow at the secondary branches and settling-out at their mouths in case of catastrophic increase of Q at the delta head.

### References

ATLAS: morphodynamics of mouth systems of large rivers at Arctic coast of Russia) Geographical department of MSU: P.P.Shirshov Institute of Oceanology of RAS Институт океанологии им. П.П. Ширшова РАН. – Moscow: APR, 2017. –148 р.

Carson M.A., Jasper J.N. and Conly F.M. Magnitude and sources of sediment input to the Mackenzie Delta, Northwest Territories, 1974–94 // Arctic. 1998. V.51, P. 116– 124

