A nation-wide survey of polluted sediments in Sweden

Clara Neuschütz¹, Anna Wemming² and Johan Norrlin³

¹Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, 106 48, Stockholm, Sweden ²County Administrative Board, Dalarna, 791 84 Falun, Sweden ³Geological Survey of Sweden, Box 670, SE-751 28, Uppsala, Sweden Phone: +46-(0)10-698 11 69 E-mail: clara.neuschutz@naturvardsverket.se

Conference theme number(s): 1 or 5

Introduction: To achieve a healthy water environment in Sweden there is a need of increased activities related to identification, investigation, and remediation of contaminated sediments. As part of a government mandate, initial investigations have been performed in selected sediment areas across the country. The objectives with the survey were to contribute to an improved national overview of contaminated sediments, and to provide a basis for further development of a methodology for prioritization and initial investigation of sites with contaminated sediment. The outcome of the survey is briefly presented here.

Methods: Study areas along the coast, in lakes and waterways were selected based on the water administration's risk assessments, known sources of emissions and local hydrological and geological conditions. The selection

was refined in consultation

with representatives from

the County Administrative

Boards. The approx. 70

sites that were selected

(Fig. 1), as well as 15 sites

for a separate survey of lake Vänern, were investi-

gated using hydroacoustic methods to select suitable

localizations for sediment

sampling. Surface (0-5 cm) and deeper (15-20 cm)

sediment layers were

analyzed for metals and

organic pollutants (e.g., PAHs and PCBs). More-

over, a wider range of

substances such as PFAS,

chlorinated pesticides and

pharmaceutical substances

were selected based on the



Fig. 1. Investigated areas in Sweden.

assessed impact at each area. Degree of contamination was reviewed for substances with national environmental criteria. At selected areas, tests for toxic response (CALUX, Chemical Activated LUciferase gene eXpression) were carried out regarding dioxins, PAHs and estrogen-like substances.

Results: Metals and PAH were occurring in elevated levels at sites in the vicinity of urban areas, harbors,

and larger industries. PFOS and substances with risk being enriched higher up the food chain, such as PCBs and dioxins, were also frequently detected. The pattern for the different dioxin congeners varied among the areas and may provide information for further studies of sources to pollution. The toxic response measured by means of CALUX generally co-varied with measured levels in the chemical analysis of the respective substance group.

The results of the investigations are presented in field reports [1][2], and yet another field report and summary report will be published later in 2023 (in Swedish with English summary) available at <u>renasediment.se</u>. Analysis data is reported to the open data hosting for sediments at the Geological Survey of Sweden.

Discussion: The surveys have contributed to the national overview of sediment pollution in Sweden, and with increased knowledge about methodology and choice of parameters for further work with prioritization and investigation of sediment. A thorough review of information on potential pollution sources and sediment geology is crucial for prioritizing suitable areas for investigation, especially in watercourses and highly impacted urban areas. Hydroacoustic measurements proved to be essential to understand the conditions at each location and to select appropriate sampling points. The results also show that toxicity tests may be a useful complement to chemical analysis and a tool for the interpretation of total load of substances that have similar effects and impacts on the benthic environment.

Acknowledgements: We are most grateful to all participants in the project and to representatives at the County Administrative Boards and employees at NIRAS Sweden AB for the contribution to site selection and field work respectively.

References: [1] Larsson et al. (2021) <u>SGU-report</u> <u>2021:21</u>. [2] Norrlin et al. (2022) <u>SGU-report</u> <u>2022:16.</u>